REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE BREATHITT COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT – 2010 UNMINED COAL TAXES

For The Period November 10, 2010 Through July 15, 2011



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE 502.564.5841 FACSIMILE 502.564.2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE BREATHITT COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT – 2010 UNMINED COAL TAXES

For The Period November 10, 2010 Through July 15, 2011

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement – 2010 Unmined Coal Taxes for the Breathitt County Sheriff for the period November 10, 2010 through July 15, 2011. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$675,016 for the districts for 2010 unmined coal taxes, retaining commissions of \$20,124 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$654,892 to the districts for 2010 unmined coal taxes.

Report Comment:

2010-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT – 2010 UNMINED COAL TAXES	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	4
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON	
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	9
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	13



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor
Lori H. Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Jason Richardson, Breathitt County Judge/Executive
Honorable Ray Clemons, Breathitt County Sheriff
Members of the Breathitt County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Breathitt County Sheriff's Settlement -2010 Unmined Coal Taxes for the period November 10, 2010 through July 15, 2011. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Breathitt County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the <u>Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements</u> issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement in accordance with the modified cash basis, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Breathitt County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid for the period November 10, 2010 through July 15, 2011, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated November 1, 2011 on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor
Lori H. Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Jason Richardson, Breathitt County Judge/Executive
Honorable Ray Clemons, Breathitt County Sheriff
Members of the Breathitt County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

2010-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

November 1, 2011

BREATHITT COUNTY RAY CLEMONS, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT – 2010 UNMINED COAL TAXES

For The Period November 10, 2010 Through July 15, 2011

Charges	Cou	nty Taxes	Special ing Districts	Sch	ool Taxes	Sta	te Taxes
Unmined Coal - 2010 Taxes	\$	80,832	\$ 198,118	\$	321,742	\$	96,681
Penalties		447	 1,096		1,781		535
		01.270	100.014		222 522		07.016
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff		81,279	 199,214		323,523		97,216
<u>Credits</u>							
Exonerations		18	44		71		21
Discounts		1,267	3,106		5,048		1,516
Delinquents:							
Real Estate		1,753	 4,297		6,978		2,097
Total Credits		3,038	7,447		12,097		3,634
		-0-44					
Taxes Collected		78,241	191,767		311,426		93,582
Less: Commissions *		3,325	 8,150		4,671		3,978
т. Б		74016	100 (17		206755		00.604
Taxes Due		74,916	183,617		306,755		89,604
Taxes Paid		74,916	 183,617		306,755		89,604
Due Districts or as of Completion of Audit	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0

* Commissions:

4.25% on \$ 363,590 1.5% on \$ 311,426

BREATHITT COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

July 15, 2011

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Breathitt County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

BREATHITT COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT July 15, 2011 (Continued)

Note 2. Deposits (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Breathitt County Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of July 15, 2011, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

The tangible property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2010. Property taxes are billed to finance governmental services. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was January 19, 2011 through July 15, 2011.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Breathitt County Sheriff earned \$69 as interest income on 2010 unmined coal taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder was used to operate the Sheriff's office.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Breathitt County Sheriff collected \$102 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.119(7). This amount was used to operate the Sheriff's office.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Jason Richardson, Breathitt County Judge/Executive Honorable Ray Clemons, Breathitt County Sheriff Members of the Breathitt County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Breathitt County Sheriff's Settlement – 2010 Unmined Coal Taxes for the period November 10, 2010 through July 15, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated November 1, 2011. The Sheriff prepares his financial statement in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Breathitt County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying comment and recommendation as item 2010-01 that we consider to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.

A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Breathitt County Sheriff's Settlement - 2010 Unmined Coal Taxes for the period November 10, 2010 through July 15, 2011, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

The Breathitt County Sheriff's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation. We did not audit the Sheriff's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Breathitt County Fiscal Court, and the Department for Local Government and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

November 1, 2011



BREATHITT COUNTY RAY CLEMONS, SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Period November 10, 2010 Through July 15, 2011

INTERNAL CONTROL – SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY:

2010-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

The Sheriff's office lacks adequate segregation of duties due to the responsibilities of recording, depositing, receiving, and reconciling cash being delegated to the same individual. The functions of receiving, recording, depositing, and reconciling cash should be separated whenever possible in order to decrease the risk that errors, misstatements, and/or fraud will occur and go undetected. Since only one person performs most of these functions, there is no assurance that financial transactions are accurate, complete, and free of error/misstatement. We recommend the Sheriff segregate the duties of recording, depositing, and reconciling cash or implement and document compensating controls to offset this deficiency. Examples of compensating controls include: the Sheriff comparing daily checkout sheet to the receipts ledger and bank deposit, reviewing bank reconciliations for accuracy, performing surprise cash counts, reviewing invoices prior to payment, and reviewing all financial reports. The Sheriff could document his review process by initialing reports and supporting documentation.

Sheriff's Response: Still a problem due to lack of funds. We have one part-time worker that is assuming some of the responsibilities.

Auditor's Reply: Our recommendation would require stronger oversight by the Sheriff or segregating the duties in the Sheriff's office and would not require the hiring of additional staff.